

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/986,535	<b>Applicant(s)</b> IKEWAKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Patrick T. Lewis	<b>Art Unit</b> 1623	

**All Participants:**

(1) Patrick T. Lewis.

(2) Thomas Cunningham.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 February 2005

**Time:** 1:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112-1 Enablement (Deposit Rules)*

Claims discussed:

*3 and 6*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Cunningham that the deposit rules for biological materials had not been satisfied and would require a rejection under 35 USC 112-1. Mr. Cunningham informed the examiner that the fungus had been deposited and would furnish the examiner with a copy of the deposit receipt..